# Risktwie Digitale Zwillinge zum Schutz kritischer Infrastruktur

## **AP 1.2 – intelligent buildings in bridge engineering**

### Optimisation of numerical model for historic steel bridge

Fabian Seitz M.Sc., Prof. Dr.-Ing. Max Spannaus



Railroad underpass during dismantling 2020



Test facility at UniBw Munich

#### **Motivation / Goals**

- The condition of Germany's transportation infrastructure is very poor; 45% of railroad bridges were built before 1945.
- Closures, slow routes and temporary support constructions lead to numerous restrictions in rail operations.
- The bridge type under investigation is still in operation in many cases. Findings can be projected to other bridges
- Development of a validated calculation model by investigating the failure mechanisms taking into account the redistribution effects on a real-scale test bridge.

#### **Test Facility**

#### Object of investigation:

Gau Algesheim railroad underpass was built in 1903.



- The riveted trough bridge consist of composed girders and is made of cast iron.
- The bridge with a span of 4.8 m has only been open to traffic with restrictions since 2016.
- Dismantling took place in 2020 with subsequent construction of the bridge test facility on the University
  of the Bundeswehr Campus in Munich.

#### Static test:

 Determination of the overall stiffness by static load tests and measurement of the deflection in the center of the bridge.

#### Dynamic test:

- The bridge with a span of 4.8 m has only been open to traffic with restrictions since 2016.
- Ballasting with concrete blocks / steel Slabs for medium stress level and reduction of natural frequency.
- Excitation with infinitely variable unbalanced vibration exciter at the natural frequency of the bridge including ballast simulating a crossing train.
- Realistic load with deflection of Δe<sub>z</sub>=3,3 mm in the middle of the bridge which is equal to a crossing heavy cargo train.
- Introduction of artificial damage (missing rivets, geometric notches, weld beads, partial cross-section failure) with increasing severity.

#### **Numerical Simulations**

- The numerical model which is typically used for calculation (beam model with flexible joints) is less stiff than the bridge in our experiment.
- Shell model behaves more rigidly than experiment.
- Detailed numerical models of applied damages were set up to investigate failure mechanisms.

Fatigue crack caused by artificially induced damage



FE-Model of bridge with crack

#### **Results**

- No fatigue damage caused by realistic loading after 5 x10<sup>6</sup> load cycles.
- No brittle failure due to artificially introduced damage. Failure of partial cross-section led to progressive fatigue crack.
- Validation of the numerical models based on the results of static and dynamic tests to increase accuracy of calculations.
- Transfer of the results to other bridges of this type in operation is possible.



unibw.de hsu-hh.de

dtecbw.de

